

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,360	08/19/2003	Yves Bourhis	Scrie 6045	4822
7590 03/07/2006			EXAMINER	
Air Liquide			PADEN, CAROLYN A	
Linda K. Russell Suite 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2700 Post Oak Blvd.			1761	
Houston, TX	77056		DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/643,360	BOURHIS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Carolyn A. Paden	1761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHOP WHICH - Extensic after SI - If NO pe - Failure I Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Se	eptember 2005.			
2a)□ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	n of Claims				
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application	n Papers				
10)□ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and request that any objection to the coeplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example oath or declaration is objected to by the Example oath or declaration is objected to by	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s					
2) Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date 10-2-5-0-1; 9-16-05; 5-	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 5 ~ 5 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,360

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Wasastjerna (WO 95/27401) alone or if necessary in view of Spencer (WO93/19626).

Spencer and Wasastjerna were cited as an X references in an international search report. Wasastjerna discloses continuously treating cooking oil with an inert gas, like nitrogen, while deep-frying at 356F (180C). The claims appear to differ from Wasastjerna in the recitation of the presence of food residue in the oil. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to expect the meat material of Wasastjerna to introduce food residue into the frying oil during cooking as a result of breakage of the meat product during removal of the food from the frying oil. It is appreciated that sparging or the use of a membrane are not mentioned but no unobvious or unexpected result are seen among various inert gas application modes and applicant has not indicated any criticality in the use of one method over another. Although displacement or removal of degradation products and oxygen is not mentioned, it was clear from final

improved oil that these reactants were removed from the oil. Further applicant did not objectively measure any removal of degradation products in his specification. So no difference can be seen from the removal in the reference and the removal in the present application. No unobvious or unexpected difference is seen from the color of applicant's oil and the color of the referenced oil. The process steps appear to be similar so the oil color would be expected to be similar. It is appreciated that all of the inert gases of claim 4 are not mentioned but inert gases are well known for use in oxidizing oils and Spencer is relied upon to support this assertion.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art further shows the state of the art relating to frying oils and inert gases.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or

Application/Control Number: 10/643,360 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN 2-27-08
PRIMARY EXAMINER 1761